

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/660,453	09/11/2003	Lutz Biedermann	58780/B884	4926	
23363 CHRISTIE PA	7590 10/22/2008 ARKER & HALE, LLP		EXAM	EXAMINER	
PO BOX 7068			WILLSE, DAVID H		
PASADENA,	CA 91109-7068		ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			3738		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/22/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/660,453	BIEDERMANN E	T AL.				
Interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Dave Willse	3738					
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):						
(1) Mark Garscia.	(3)						
(2) Exmr. Willse.	(4)						
Date of Interview: 20 October 2008.							
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2)□ applicant's representative]							
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No. If Yes, brief description:							
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1</u> .							
Identification of prior art discussed: Bertagnoli, US 5,480,442.							
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g)□ was not reached. h)□ N/A.							
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>The Applicant discussed the newly added limitations pertaining to the base plate as set forth in the Amendment of October 14, 2008. The examiner agreed that amended claim 1 appears to distinguish over the embodiment of Figures 5a and 5b in Bertagnoli. The examiner indicated that a review of the prior art overall will be made before reaching a final decision as to patentability.  (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)</u>							
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.							
/Dave Willse/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3738							